

REMARKS

This Amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed on December 6, 2006. Claim 25 has been amended, and withdrawn claims 11-17, 23 and 24 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer to filing a divisional application thereon. Claims 1-10, 18-22 and 25-27 remain pending in the present application. Applicant notes and appreciates Examiner's allowance of claims 1-10 and 18-22. In view of the foregoing amendments, as well as the following remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that this application is in complete condition for allowance and request reconsideration of the application in this regard.

Examiner indicated in the Office Action Summary that the drawings filed on December 1, 2003 are objected to. However, Examiner did not specify the objection so Applicant has no indication what drawing changes are necessary, if any. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests an opportunity to address Examiner's objection upon Examiner's specification of same.

Claims 25-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Bowe, U.S. Patent No. 3,125,967. While Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection, Applicant has amended independent claim 25 to more sharply define the claimed invention of the prior art of record and respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

In particular, Applicant has amended independent claim 25 to recite that the apparatus for de-energizing the heater while the spinner head is rotating includes a

Application No. 10/725,045
Amendment Dated 6/6/07
Reply to Office Action of 12/6/06

delay circuit so that the heater is cooled while the spinner head is rotating. As Examiner properly recognized in the allowance of claims 1-10 and 18-22, Bowe '967 does not disclose a delay circuit that de-energizes the heater while the spinner head is rotating so that the heater is cooled while the spinner head is rotating. Consequently, Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of elements recited in claim 25 is patentable over the prior art of record and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Moreover, as claims 26 and 27 depend from allowable independent claim 25, and further as each of these claims recites a combination of elements not taught or suggested by the prior art of record, Applicant respectfully submits that these claims are allowable as well.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing response including the amendments and remarks, this application is submitted to be in complete condition for allowance and early notice to this affect is earnestly solicited. If there is any issue that remains which may be resolved by telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned in order to resolve the same and expedite the allowance of this application.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.17(a)(3), Applicant has submitted herewith electronically the \$510.00 fee for the three (3) months extension. If any additional fees are necessary to complete this communication, the Commissioner is

Application No. 10/725,045
Amendment Dated 6/6/07
Reply to Office Action of 12/6/06

hereby authorized to charge any underpayment or fees associated with this
communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-3000.

Respectfully submitted,

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

/David H. Brinkman/
David H. Brinkman, Reg. No. 40,532

2700 Carew Tower
441 Vine Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 241-2324 - Voice
(513) 421-7269 - Facsimile